

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/582,567	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rob Swiatek	3643	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Rob Swiatek. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Thomas J. Burger. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2009

**Time:** \_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible 35 USC 102(b)*

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

*Koncsek (US 4,991,795); Sandre (US 3,238,716)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' representative authorized cancelation of claim 9. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)